

UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT NORTHERN DISTRICT OF ILLINOIS 219 SOUTH DEARBORN STREET CHICAGO, ILLINOIS 60604

312-435-6860

October 4, 2021

In re: Hopper et al v. Wells Fargo Bank, N.A. et al, Case No. 11 CV 04121

Ahmad Tayseer Sulaiman Sulaiman Law Group, Ltd. 2500 S. Highland Avenue Suite 200 Lombard, IL 60148 (630) 575-8181

Email: ahmad.sulaiman@sulaimanlaw.com

Chethan G. Shetty Locke Lord Bissell & Liddell LLP 111 South Wacker Drive Chicago, IL 60606 312 443 1887

Email: cshetty@lockelord.com

Simon A. Fleischmann Locke Lord Bissell & Liddell LLP 111 South Wacker Drive Chicago, IL 60606 (312) 443-0462

Email: sfleischmann@lockelord.com

Daniel A. Kaufman Michael Best & Friedrich LLP (Illinois) 444 W. Lake Street, Suite 3200 Chicago, IL 60606 (312) 836-5077

Email: dakaufman@michaelbest.com

Carrie C. Ruzicka Pilgrim Christakis LLP 53 West Jackson Boulevard Suite 1515 Chicago, IL 60604 312-445-0488

Christopher R. Parker Michael Best & Friedrich 444 W. Lake Street Suite 3200 Chicago, IL 60606 (312)222-0800

Email: crparker@michaelbest.com

Dear Counselor,

I have been contacted by Judge Charles R. Norgle, Sr, who presided over the above-mentioned case.

Judge Norgle informed me that it has been brought to his attention that while he presided over the case, he owned stock in Wells Fargo & Company. His ownership of stock neither affected nor impacted his decisions in this case. However, his stock ownership would have required recusal under the Code of Conduct for United States Judges, and thus, Judge Norgle directed that I notify the parties of the conflict.

<u>Advisory Opinion 71.</u> from the Judicial Conference Codes of Conduct Committee, provides the following guidance for addressing disqualification that is not discovered until after a judge has participated in a case:

[A]judge should disclose to the parties the facts bearing on disqualification as soon as those facts are learned, even though that

may occur after entry of the decision. The parties may then determine what relief they may seek, and a court (without the disqualified judge) will decide the legal consequence, if any, arising from the participation of the disqualified judge in the entered decision.

Although Advisory Opinion 71 contemplated disqualification after a Court of Appeals oral argument, the Committee explained "[s]imilar considerations would apply when a judgment was entered in a district court by a judge and it is later learned that the judge was disqualified."

With Advisory Opinion 71 in mind, you are invited to respond to Judge Norgle's disclosure of a conflict in this case. Should you wish to respond, please submit your response on or before October 18, 2021. Any response will be considered by another judge of this court without the participation of Judge Norgle.

Sincerely

Thomas G. Bruton Clerk of Court